

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.ispico.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,186	01/29/2001	Lawrence Bernard Kool	RD-28,011	7166
75	90 02/21/2003			
Tracey R. Loughlin DOUGHERTY, CLEMENTS & HOFER 1901 ROXBOROUGH ROAD SUITE 300 CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			EXAMINER	
			CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/771,186	KOOL ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit			
.h	Sharidan Carrillo	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh t with the correspond nce address					
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the set of the statutory period for reply originally set in the set of the statutory period for reply originally set in the set of the set	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Office Action of 12/6/02.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 ·			
10. Other:					
	SHARIDAN CARRILLO PRIMARY EXAMINER	Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/77.1,186.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will not be entered because changing the Markush Group of A to only Si, Ge, Ti, and Ga changes the scope of the claims which would require further search and/or considerations. Furth r, as a result of the non-entry of the After-Final Amendment, the rejections are maintained as discussed in the Office Action of 12/6/02 and applicant's arguments are deemed moot.